WO

Court.

## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

## DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

## ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	•	<b>4.1.2.</b>	
	Jaime Osuna-Burgos	Case Number: _	09-6126M
	In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C and was represented by counsel. I conclude by a pof the defendant pending trial in this case.		
	I find by a preponderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT	
	The defendant is not a citizen of	the United States or lawfully adr	nitted for permanent residence.
	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Custom Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deporte or otherwise removed.		
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources to assure his/her future appearar		he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated
	The defendant has a prior crimin	al history.	
	☐ The defendant lives/works in Me	xico.	
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.		
	There is a record of prior failure t	o appear in court as ordered.	
The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.		eeing from law enforcement.	
	The defendant is facing a maxim	um of y	ears imprisonment.
	at the time of the hearing in this matter, except as	s noted in the record.  CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	ervices Agency which were reviewed by the Court
	<ol> <li>There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee.</li> <li>No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.</li> </ol> DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION		
	The defendant is committed to the custod a corrections facility separate, to the extent practic appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reason of the United States or on request of an attorney for defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose.  APPE	dy of the Attorney General or his/ cable, from persons awaiting or se table opportunity for private const or the Government, the person in urpose of an appearance in conn EALS AND THIRD PARTY RELE	Ther designated representative for confinement in erving sentences or being held in custody pending ultation with defense counsel. On order of a court charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the nection with a court proceeding.
	IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsible deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Protein Services at least one day prior to the bearing set before the		

DATED this 7<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2009.

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.